

Single-Multi County Analysis: Ranking Questions

The following tables document responses from three categories of PIHPs (Single County PIHPs, PIHPs serving 2-6 Counties, and PIHPs serving 7-15 counties) to questions asking participants to rank-order the factors most critical to the sustainability of EBPs. The column “Unranked” indicates the total percentage of respondents who did not rank that factor as one of their most critical factors.

Single County Served n=48		2-6 Counties Servedn=63		7-15 Counties Served n=28	
I. Ranking Question 1: Please rank the top 5 factors critical to choosing EBPs in your region.					
a. Level of Ongoing Training Needed:					
1 st Choice	14.6%	1 st Choice	4.8%	1 st Choice	0.0%
2 nd Choice	12.5%	2 nd Choice	14.3%	2 nd Choice	10.7%
3 rd Choice	2.1%	3 rd Choice	15.9%	3 rd Choice	7.1%
4 th Choice	20.8%	4 th Choice	12.7%	4 th Choice	21.4%
5 th Choice	20.8%	5 th Choice	17.5%	5 th Choice	25%
Unranked	29.2%	Unranked	34.9%	Unranked	35.7%
b. Ease of Certification Process:					
1 st Choice	0.0%	1 st Choice	4.8%	1 st Choice	7.1%
2 nd Choice	2.1%	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	7.1%
3 rd Choice	10.4%	3 rd Choice	9.5%	3 rd Choice	7.1%
4 th Choice	2.1%	4 th Choice	7.9%	4 th Choice	3.6%
5 th Choice	4.2%	5 th Choice	9.5%	5 th Choice	0.0%
Unranked	81.3%	Unranked	68.3%	Unranked	75%
c. Cost of Intervention Delivery:					
1 st Choice	10.4%	1 st Choice	3.2%	1 st Choice	3.6%
2 nd Choice	12.5%	2 nd Choice	28.6%	2 nd Choice	25%
3 rd Choice	12.5%	3 rd Choice	12.7%	3 rd Choice	35.7%
4 th Choice	16.7%	4 th Choice	9.5%	4 th Choice	3.6%
5 th Choice	10.4%	5 th Choice	7.9%	5 th Choice	21.4%
Unranked	37.5%	Unranked	38.1%	Unranked	10.7%
d. Ability to Maintain Fidelity to the Model:					
1 st Choice	4.2%	1 st Choice	12.7%	1 st Choice	7.1%
2 nd Choice	20.8%	2 nd Choice	15.9%	2 nd Choice	3.6%
3 rd Choice	14.6%	3 rd Choice	14.3%	3 rd Choice	0.0%
4 th Choice	14.6%	4 th Choice	11.1%	4 th Choice	10.7%

5 th Choice	18.8%	5 th Choice	12.7%	5 th Choice	17.9%
Unranked	27.1%	Unranked	33.3%	Unranked	60.7%

e. Consumer Demand:

1 st Choice	56.3%	1 st Choice	49.2%	1 st Choice	57.1%
2 nd Choice	4.2%	2 nd Choice	3.2%	2 nd Choice	25%
3 rd Choice	8.3%	3 rd Choice	9.5%	3 rd Choice	3.6%
4 th Choice	4.2%	4 th Choice	6.3%	4 th Choice	7.1%
5 th Choice	4.2%	5 th Choice	6.3%	5 th Choice	0.0%
Unranked	22.9%	Unranked	25.4%	Unranked	7.1%

f. Retaining Qualified Staff to Deliver EBPs:

1 st Choice	6.3%	1 st Choice	0.0%	1 st Choice	0.0%
2 nd Choice	12.5%	2 nd Choice	14.3%	2 nd Choice	7.1%
3 rd Choice	16.7%	3 rd Choice	12.7%	3 rd Choice	25%
4 th Choice	10.4%	4 th Choice	25.4%	4 th Choice	28.6%
5 th Choice	18.8%	5 th Choice	7.9%	5 th Choice	7.1%
Unranked	35.4%	Unranked	39.7%	Unranked	32.1%

g. Fit with your Existing EBPs:

1 st Choice	2.1%	1 st Choice	3.2%	1 st Choice	7.1%
2 nd Choice	12.5%	2 nd Choice	6.3%	2 nd Choice	14.3%
3 rd Choice	12.5%	3 rd Choice	9.5%	3 rd Choice	0.0%
4 th Choice	10.4%	4 th Choice	11.1%	4 th Choice	3.6%
5 th Choice	6.3%	5 th Choice	17.5%	5 th Choice	10.7%
Unranked	56.3%	Unranked	52.4%	Unranked	64.3%

h. Availability of Ongoing Funding from the State:

1 st Choice	6.3%	1 st Choice	20.6%	1 st Choice	17.9%
2 nd Choice	22.9%	2 nd Choice	17.5%	2 nd Choice	7.1%
3 rd Choice	14.6%	3 rd Choice	12.7%	3 rd Choice	17.9%
4 th Choice	10.4%	4 th Choice	7.9%	4 th Choice	17.9%
5 th Choice	12.5%	5 th Choice	17.5%	5 th Choice	10.7%
Unranked	33.3%	Unranked	23.8%	Unranked	28.6%

i. Presence of a Learning Collaborative:

1 st Choice	0.0%	1 st Choice	1.6%	1 st Choice	0.0%
2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	0.0%
3 rd Choice	8.3%	3 rd Choice	3.2%	3 rd Choice	3.6%
4 th Choice	10.4%	4 th Choice	7.9%	4 th Choice	3.6%
5 th Choice	4.2%	5 th Choice	3.2%	5 th Choice	7.1%
Unranked	77.1%	Unranked	84.1%	Unranked	85.7%

Single County Served n=48		2-6 Counties Servedn=63		7-15 Counties Served n=28	
II. Ranking Question 2: Please rank the top 5 potential statewide solutions to sustaining EBPs.					
a. Fund Regions to Select Alternative EBPs Based on Regional Need:					
1 st Choice	39.6	1 st Choice	50.8	1 st Choice	46.4
2 nd Choice	14.6	2 nd Choice	11.1	2 nd Choice	21.4
3 rd Choice	12.5	3 rd Choice	17.5	3 rd Choice	10.7
4 th Choice	14.6	4 th Choice	6.3	4 th Choice	3.6
5 th Choice	18.8	5 th Choice	3.2	5 th Choice	3.6
Unranked	39.6	Unranked	11.1	Unranked	14.3
b. Provide Authoritative EBP Consultation:					
1 st Choice	18.8	1 st Choice	7.9	1 st Choice	3.6
2 nd Choice	16.7	2 nd Choice	11.1	2 nd Choice	10.7
3 rd Choice	22.9	3 rd Choice	14.3	3 rd Choice	25.0
4 th Choice	10.4	4 th Choice	22.2	4 th Choice	10.7
5 th Choice	12.5	5 th Choice	9.5	5 th Choice	14.3
Unranked	18.8	Unranked	34.9	Unranked	35.7
c. Maintain Dedicated State Champion for Each EBP:					
1 st Choice	4.2	1 st Choice	6.3	1 st Choice	7.1
2 nd Choice	6.3	2 nd Choice	11.1	2 nd Choice	0.0
3 rd Choice	4.2	3 rd Choice	6.3	3 rd Choice	0.0
4 th Choice	18.8	4 th Choice	6.3	4 th Choice	21.4
5 th Choice	14.6	5 th Choice	14.3	5 th Choice	14.3
Unranked	52.1	Unranked	55.6	Unranked	57.1
d. Increase Phase-in Time to Implement EBPs:					
1 st Choice	6.3	1 st Choice	0.0	1 st Choice	0.0
2 nd Choice	8.3	2 nd Choice	14.3	2 nd Choice	10.7
3 rd Choice	10.4	3 rd Choice	12.7	3 rd Choice	7.1
4 th Choice	10.4	4 th Choice	11.1	4 th Choice	7.1
5 th Choice	6.3	5 th Choice	4.8	5 th Choice	14.3
Unranked	58.3	Unranked	57.1	Unranked	60.7

e. Increase Consumer Representation and Participation in the Selection of EBPs:

1 st Choice	10.4	1 st Choice	14.3	1 st Choice	14.3
2 nd Choice	10.4	2 nd Choice	6.3	2 nd Choice	14.3
3 rd Choice	6.3	3 rd Choice	3.2	3 rd Choice	10.7
4 th Choice	6.3	4 th Choice	4.8	4 th Choice	3.6
5 th Choice	6.3	5 th Choice	9.5	5 th Choice	10.7
Unranked	60.4	Unranked	61.9	Unranked	46.4

f. Seek Regular Consumer Feedback on EBPs after they are Implemented:

1 st Choice	2.1	1 st Choice	14.3	1 st Choice	0.0%
2 nd Choice	8.3	2 nd Choice	15.9	2 nd Choice	10.7
3 rd Choice	14.6	3 rd Choice	12.7	3 rd Choice	17.9
4 th Choice	20.8	4 th Choice	14.3	4 th Choice	17.9
5 th Choice	8.3	5 th Choice	42.9	5 th Choice	14.3
Unranked	45.8	Unranked	14.3	Unranked	39.3

g. Use a Variety of Communication Mechanisms to Inform Regions about EBPs:

1 st Choice	2.1	1 st Choice	1.6	1 st Choice	7.1
2 nd Choice	12.5	2 nd Choice	9.5	2 nd Choice	7.1
3 rd Choice	18.8	3 rd Choice	6.3	3 rd Choice	10.7
4 th Choice	10.4	4 th Choice	14.3	4 th Choice	14.3
5 th Choice	6.3	5 th Choice	20.6	5 th Choice	17.9
Unranked	50.0	Unranked	47.6	Unranked	42.9

h. Set up the State to Act as a Central Clearinghouse for EBP Information:

1 st Choice	6.3	1 st Choice	4.8	1 st Choice	7.1
2 nd Choice	12.5	2 nd Choice	7.9	2 nd Choice	0.0
3 rd Choice	6.3	3 rd Choice	14.3	3 rd Choice	10.7
4 th Choice	12.5	4 th Choice	9.5	4 th Choice	7.1
5 th Choice	16.7	5 th Choice	15.9	5 th Choice	3.6
Unranked	45.8	Unranked	47.6	Unranked	71.4

i. Certify the CMHSP/Region and not just the Individual Staff for Particular EBPs:

1 st Choice	8.3	1 st Choice	14.3	1 st Choice	14.3
2 nd Choice	8.3	2 nd Choice	14.3	2 nd Choice	25.0
3 rd Choice	2.1	3 rd Choice	9.5	3 rd Choice	7.1
4 th Choice	8.3	4 th Choice	12.7	4 th Choice	14.3
5 th Choice	12.5	5 th Choice	7.9	5 th Choice	7.1
Unranked	60.4	Unranked	41.3	Unranked	32.1

Single County Served n=48		2-6 Counties Servedn=63		7-15 Counties Served n=28	
III. Ranking Question 3: Please rank the top 3 factors pertaining to fidelity.					
a. Promote Adaptations of EBPs to Accommodate Regional Differences:					
1 st Choice	37.8	1 st Choice	51.6	1 st Choice	48.1
2 nd Choice	37.8	2 nd Choice	25.8	2 nd Choice	33.3
3 rd Choice	24.4	3 rd Choice	22.6	3 rd Choice	18.5
b. Establish a More Flexible Certification Standard for Who Can Deliver EBPs:					
1 st Choice	42.2	1 st Choice	27.4	1 st Choice	40.7
2 nd Choice	37.8	2 nd Choice	40.3	2 nd Choice	29.6
3 rd Choice	20.0	3 rd Choice	32.3	3 rd Choice	40.7
c. Develop Audit Options to Certify the CMHSP/Region and Not Just Individual Staff:					
1 st Choice	20.0	1 st Choice	21.0	1 st Choice	11.1
2 nd Choice	24.4	2 nd Choice	33.9	2 nd Choice	37.0
3 rd Choice	55.6	3 rd Choice	45.2	3 rd Choice	51.9

Single County Served n=48		2-6 Counties Servedn=63		7-15 Counties Served n=28	
IV. Ranking Question 4: Please rank the top 3 factors pertaining to staffing issues.					
a. Limit the Number of EBPs a CMHSP/Region is Implementing at Any Given Time:					
1 st Choice	25.0	1 st Choice	24.2	1 st Choice	21.4
2 nd Choice	16.7	2 nd Choice	14.5	2 nd Choice	17.9
3 rd Choice	8.3	3 rd Choice	19.4	3 rd Choice	10.7
Unranked	50.0	Unranked	41.9	Unranked	50.0
b. Ensure that EBPs Don't Add to Staff Workload:					
1 st Choice	10.4	1 st Choice	29.0	1 st Choice	46.4
2 nd Choice	20.8	2 nd Choice	17.7	2 nd Choice	7.1
3 rd Choice	18.8	3 rd Choice	11.3	3 rd Choice	7.1
Unranked	50.0	Unranked	41.9	Unranked	39.3
c. Recognize Staff Achievements in EBPs:					
1 st Choice	12.5	1 st Choice	8.1	1 st Choice	10.7
2 nd Choice	16.7	2 nd Choice	24.2	2 nd Choice	14.3
3 rd Choice	25.0	3 rd Choice	17.7	3 rd Choice	21.4
Unranked	45.8	Unranked	50.0	Unranked	53.6
d. Develop Mechanisms to Certify Supervisors in Multiple EBPs:					
1 st Choice	33.3	1 st Choice	17.7	1 st Choice	10.7
2 nd Choice	14.6	2 nd Choice	12.9	2 nd Choice	32.1
3 rd Choice	18.8	3 rd Choice	33.9	3 rd Choice	28.6
Unranked	33.3	Unranked	35.5	Unranked	28.6
e. Offer Incentives to Motivate Staff Participation in EBP Supervision:					
1 st Choice	18.8	1 st Choice	19.4	1 st Choice	7.1
2 nd Choice	31.3	2 nd Choice	29.0	2 nd Choice	25.0
3 rd Choice	29.2	3 rd Choice	16.1	3 rd Choice	28.6
Unranked	20.8	Unranked	35.5	Unranked	39.3

Single County Served n=48		2-6 Counties Servedn=63		7-15 Counties Served n=28	
V. Ranking Question 5: Please rank the top 3 factors pertaining to gathering data and measuring outcomes of EBPs.					
a. Establish a Common Outcome Measure for EBPs:					
1 st Choice	47.9	1 st Choice	54.8	1 st Choice	32.1
2 nd Choice	27.1	2 nd Choice	22.6	2 nd Choice	46.4
3 rd Choice	14.6	3 rd Choice	12.9	3 rd Choice	21.4
Unranked	10.4	Unranked	9.7	Unranked	0.0
b. Adopt a Statewide Electronic Medical Record System:					
1 st Choice	6.3	1 st Choice	8.1	1 st Choice	7.1
2 nd Choice	12.5	2 nd Choice	6.5	2 nd Choice	3.6
3 rd Choice	22.9	3 rd Choice	29.0	3 rd Choice	17.9
Unranked	58.3	Unranked	56.5	Unranked	71.4
c. Incorporate EBP Fidelity Measures into the State Audits:					
1 st Choice	10.4	1 st Choice	8.1	1 st Choice	17.9
2 nd Choice	22.9	2 nd Choice	35.5	2 nd Choice	14.3
3 rd Choice	37.5	3 rd Choice	32.3	3 rd Choice	46.4
Unranked	29.2	Unranked	24.2	Unranked	21.4
d. Use Cost Benefit Analysis to Determine Effectiveness of Multiple EBPs:					
1 st Choice	33.3	1 st Choice	25.8	1 st Choice	42.9
2 nd Choice	35.4	2 nd Choice	32.3	2 nd Choice	35.7
3 rd Choice	22.9	3 rd Choice	22.6	3 rd Choice	14.3
Unranked	8.3	Unranked	19.4	Unranked	7.1